



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
09/652,770	09/652,770 08/31/2000		6103-0121	4542				
7	590 09/10/2002	3. A.						
BRYAN K W		EXAMINER						
•	CKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.	·	WALTON, GEORGE L					
7700 BONHOI SUITE 400	MME DRIVE							
ST. LOUIS, M	O 63105	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER						
		3753						
		DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002						

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/652,770

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

George L. Walton

3753

Pumm et al

į	ı	Ш	Н	1	Ш	l	H	Ш	Ш	II	I	1	i	IJ	ļ	ı

Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  1) \( \times \) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \( \frac{Jun 12, 2002}{20} \)  - This action is \( \text{FINAL}. \)  - 2b) \( \times \) This action is non-final.  3) \( \times \) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \( \text{Ex parte Quayle}, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. \)										
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>Status</li> <li>1) ▼ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 12, 2002</li> <li>2a) □ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ▼ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.									
1)   Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 12, 2002  2a)   This action is FINAL. 2b)   This action is non-final.  3)   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any									
<ul> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☒ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>	Status									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 12, 2002</u> .								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL. 2b) 💢 This action is non-final.								
	3) 🗆									
Disposition of Claims	Disposi	tion of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.	4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u>			is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) 🗆									
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	8) 💢	Claims <u>1-36</u>	ar	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	Applica	tion Papers			,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	9) 🗆									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accept	ed or b)	$\Box$ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be h	eld in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examine	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	s: a) 🗆 a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office a	ction.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) $\square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	a)[									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).										
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>										
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	_		4) Interview 9	Simman, (PTO	-413) Paner No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:										

Application/Control Number: 09/652,770 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-7 and 17-33, drawn to an electromagnet structure, classified in class 335, subclass 296+.

II. Claims 8-16, drawn to a gas valve with an electromagnetic controller, classified in class 137, subclass 65.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of Group I and Group are unrelated because they have different modes of operation, and different functions as claimed. Note the invention of Group II depicts an electromagnetic controller for controlling a gas valve that is not required for the electromagnet structure of Group I. Therefore, this supports the different modes of operation and different functions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3753

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. Applicant(s) is/are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

## **CONCLUSION**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George L. Walton whose telephone number is (703) 308-2596.

GEORGE L. WALTON

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER - 3700** 

**ART UNIT - 3753** 

**GLW** 

September 9, 2002